



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/048,114	03/05/2002	Rainer Hillebrand	RBL0085	6351

7590
John F Hoffman
Baker & Daniels
111 East Wayne Street
Suite 800
Fort Wayne, IN 46802

EXAMINER

PATEL, NIKETA I

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2181

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/17/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/048,114	Applicant(s) HILLEBRAND, RAINER	
	Examiner NIKETA I. PATEL	Art Unit 2181	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/16/2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 10, 15 and 16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 10, 15 and 16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 August 2007 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 10, 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No.: 6,167,441 granted to Himmel, Maria Azua (hereinafter “Himmel”).

3. Referring to claim 15, (currently amended): Himmel teaches a process for transferring data from a data-preparing device to a data-requesting device [column 4, lines 42-54 – web page], comprising: the data-requesting device requests [figure 3, elements 101,103,105,107], over a network [figure 3, element 109], requested data to be transmitted to it by the data-preparing device [figure 3, element 111 and column 5, lines 32-62; column 6, lines 28-61]; the data-requesting device transmits to the data-preparing device over the network information data about the capabilities of the data-requesting device, said information data transmitted by the data-requesting device including a list of usable display formats for the data-requesting device [column 6, lines 44 to column 7, lines 38 and column 8, lines 20-41, list of format]; the data-preparing device then, according to availability at the data-preparing device, selects a single display format from the list of usable display formats transmitted by the data-requesting

Art Unit: 2181

device, said list comprising a plurality of display formats [column 6, lines 44 to column 7, lines 38 and column 8, lines 20-41, list of format]; the requested data being stored in a central data bank of the data-preparing device [column 6, lines 44 to column 7, lines 38 and column 8, lines 20-41, list of format]; a formatting device formats, on call, the requested data into the selected display format [column 6, lines 44 to column 7, lines 38 and column 8, lines 20-41, list of format]; and the data-preparing device then transmits the requested data over the network to the data-requesting device in the selected display format [column 6, lines 44 to column 7, lines 38 and column 8, lines 20-41, list of format.]

4. Claim 10 (previously presented): Himmel teaches the process according to claim 15, wherein the information data are transmitted to the data-preparing device over a device engaged between the data-requesting device and the data-preparing device [figure 3; column 5, lines 32-62; column 6, lines 28 to column 7, lines 38 and column 8, lines 20-41.]

5. Claim 16 (currently amended): Himmel teaches a process for transferring data from a data-preparing device to a data-requesting device, comprising: the data-requesting device requests, over a network, requested data to be transmitted to it by the data-preparing device wherein the requested data includes textual data contained in graphics data [figure 3; column 5, lines 32-62; column 6, lines 28 to column 7, lines 38 and column 8, lines 20-41]; the data-requesting device transmits to the data-preparing device over the network information data about the capabilities of the data-requesting device, said information data transmitted by the data-requesting device including a list

Art Unit: 2181

of usable display formats for the data-requesting device, said list comprising a plurality of display formats [figure 3; column 5, lines 32-62; column 6, lines 28 to column 7, lines 38 and column 8, lines 20-41]; the data-preparing device then, according to availability at the data-preparing device, selects a single display format from the list of usable display formats transmitted by the data-requesting device [figure 3; column 5, lines 32-62; column 6, lines 28 to column 7, lines 38 and column 8, lines 20-41]; the requested data being stored in a central data bank of the data-preparing device [figure 3; column 5, lines 32-62; column 6, lines 28 to column 7, lines 38 and column 8, lines 20-41]; a formatting device formats, on call, the requested data into the selected display format the selected display format being mere textual format [figure 3; column 5, lines 32-62; column 6, lines 28 to column 7, lines 38 and column 8, lines 20-41]; and the data-preparing device then transmits the requested data over the network to the data-requesting device in the selected display textual format [figure 3; column 5, lines 32-62; column 6, lines 28 to column 7, lines 38 and column 8, lines 20-41.]

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 7/16/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are summarized as the following: (1) The claims also call for the data preparing device then, accordingly to availability at the data comparing device, automatically selecting a single display format from the list of useable display formats that was sent to it. There is no such automatic selection of a single display format from a list of transmitted formats in the system and method

Art Unit: 2181

disclosed by Himmel and (2) Himmel is also silent with respect to the claim limitation of a formatting device that formats, on call, the requested data into the selected display format. Himmel discloses that several web pages for different browser formats are stored and data in the appropriate browser format is sent to the client. There is no disclosure of a formatting device.

7. As per the first argument, examiner notes that Himmel does in fact disclose solutions to automatically select the appropriate format (i.e. web page), by detecting the type of operating system. If this is not enough for the selection process Himmel discloses further selection aspects. Due to the fact that further methods are indicated and guided by the client-smart agent 155 this is equivalent to the automatic selection as indicated in the claimed invention **(Column 6 lines 49-62)**.

***Examiner notes that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., automatic selection) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).**

8. As per the second argument, examiner notes that Himmel disclose a formatting device via Web servers that uses the HTTP protocol and by extension the HTML protocol for formatting. One of ordinary skill in the art would know that a web server is any machine on which an HTTP server program is running and therefore make the web server of Himmel equivalent to a formatting device. **(Column 1 lines 11-25)**.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NIKETA I. PATEL whose telephone number is (571)272-4156. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M, with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alford Kindred can be reached on (571) 272 4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2181

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Niketa I. Patel/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2181